

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10872.0312.N 10/065,276 09/30/2002 James I. Metzger JR. 5687 EXAMINER 26720 08/25/2005 7590 LOCKE LIDDELL & SAPP LLP NGUYEN, PHONG H ATTN. DOCKETING ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 600 TRAVIS #3400 HOUSTON, TX 77002 3724

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/065,276	METZGER, JAMES 1.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phong H. Nguyen	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 July 2005</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-29,31,32 and 34-47</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5,6,8,10,12-15,19-2	2 <u>6,28,29,31,32,34-43 and 45-47</u> i	is/are withdrawn from	
consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,7,9,16-18,27 and 44 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	tallimor. Note the attached office	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (1 10-102)	

Application/Control Number: 10/065,276

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 16-18, 27, 34 and 44 in the reply filed on 07/01/2005 is acknowledged.
- Claim 34 belongs to a different species, an arbor having an electrically insulating sleeve, versus an arbor without an electrically insulating sleeve in claims 1 and 44.
 Therefore, claim 33 is withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 16-18, 27 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lonaberger et al. (3,566,547), hereinafter Lonaberger in view of Dean et al. (5,029,626), hereinafter Dean.

Lonaberger teaches saw blade assembly comprising an arbor (1, 8), a first blade collar 12, a saw blade 18, a first washer 16 interposed between the first blade collar and the blade, a second washer 16 juxtaposed the opposite side of the first washer side of the saw blade and a fastener 10. See Figs. 1 and 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,276

Art Unit: 3724

Lonaberger fails to teach at least one shear pin. Dean teaches providing at least

Page 3

one shear pin 30 for overload protection or load shock condition. See the Abstract and

Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute shear

pins of Dean for pins in Lonaberger's saw assembly for overload protection or load shock

condition.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PN:

August 19, 2005

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700